Exhibit B

Document 33106-5

PageID: 228520

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 Page Dis 228521 WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL.,) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS, VS. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET AL., Certified Transcript DEFENDANTS.

> TRIAL DAY 19 - P.M. SESSION REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AUGUST 24, 2018

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JAY STUEMKE, ESQ.

1201 ELM STREET, SUITE 3400

DALLAS, TEXAS 75270

SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JORDAN BLUMENFELD-JAMES, ESQ. 3780 KILROY AIRPORT WAY, SUITE 540

LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558

OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

Page 2921

| 1 | Q AND YOU SELECTED HIM? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A YES, SIR, I DID. |
| 3 | Q AND HE USED PLM? |
| 4 | A THAT'S WHY I HIRED HIM TO DO THAT, TO USE PLM |
| 5 | AND XRD. SO I WOULD HOPE HE WOULD DO THAT BECAUSE THAT |
| 6 | WAS THE INSTRUCTIONS, AND HE DID DO IT. |
| 7 | Q AND HE DIDN'T FIND ANY ASBESTOS IN |
| 8 | MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE; CORRECT? |
| 9 | A NOT USING THE $R-93$ EPA METHOD OR THE XRD, NO. |
| 10 | THAT'S THE PROBLEM WITH IT, OF COURSE. |
| 11 | Q AND IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT YOU |
| 12 | MOTION TO STRIKE AFTER "NO." |
| 13 | THE COURT: OVERRULED. |
| 14 | BY MR. PARKER: |
| 15 | Q ISN'T IT TRUE WELL, LET ME JUST SAY THIS. |
| 16 | IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT IF YOU USE THE PLM |
| 17 | METHOD, YOU CANNOT FIND IN MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE ANY |
| 18 | AMPHIBOLES AT ALL? |
| 19 | A THE R-93 METHOD YOU WILL NOT FIND IT, NO. |
| 20 | Q ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT THE REASON YOU DID |
| 21 | NOT WANT TO USE THE PLM METHOD IS YOUR RELIANCE ON |
| 22 | BLOUNT DESPITE DR. MILLETTE'S ARTICLE OF LEE POYE'S USE |
| 23 | BECAUSE YOU KNEW YOU WERE NOT GOING TO FIND ASBESTOS IN |
| 24 | MS. WEIRICK'S BOTTLE? |
| 25 | A NO. WE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING UNTIL WE DID THE |
| 26 | TEM ANALYSIS. AFTER WE SAW THE TEM ANALYSIS, WE KNOW |
| 27 | THOSE CONCENTRATIONS. THE PLM METHOD IS NOT APPROPRIATE |
| 28 | TO DO AN EVALUATION FOR THESE TYPES OF PRODUCTS. |

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 Page 2: 228523 WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

| 1 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
|----------|---|
| 2 | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES |
| 3 | DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL., |
| 6 |) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS, |
| 7 | VS. |
| 8 | BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET) AL., |
| | DEFENDANTS.) |
| 10 |) |
| 11 | |
| 12 13 | |
| 14 | I, DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558, OFFICIAL REPORTER |
| 15 | PRO TEMPORE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, |
| 16 | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE |
| 17 | FOREGOING PAGES, 2861 THROUGH 2967, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND |
| 18 | CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN |
| 19 | THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON AUGUST 24, 2018. |
| 20 | DATED THIS 25TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2018. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Deborah Morin |
| 23 | , CSR NO. 11558 |
| 24 | DEBORAH MORIN, OFFICIAL REPORTER |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |